

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/646,181 | 0 | 8/25/2003 | Delbert Lee Hartman | 9083 EXAMINER | |
| 7 | 590 | 12/30/2005 | | | |
| Delbert Lee H | | | NGUYEN, TUAN N | | |
| 68 Juniper Hill: | Juniper Hills gewood, NM 87015 ART UNIT PAPER | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Lugewood, 14141 67015 | | | | 3751 | · |

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/646,181 | HARTMAN, DEI | BERT LEE | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Tuan N. Nguyen | 3751 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>17 December 2004</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on 28 February 2005 but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period | l of three months | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | received on (with a Certificate riod for payment of the issue fee (an | ate of Mailing or Tr ad publication fee) s | ansmission dated et in the Notice of | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | • | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | eking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | The M | | | | | |
| | | Tuan Nguyen | | | | | |
| | | Primary Examine | er | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term. | w the holding of abandonment under 37 | 10/00/10 | promptly filed to | | | | |